# Generic R&D for an EIC:
# **eRD20 - Developing Simulation and Analysis Tools for the EIC**

**Markus Diefenthaler** (mdiefent@jlab.org)

on behalf of the EIC Software Consortium (ESC)

# EIC R&D and software development

# EIC Software Consortium (ESC)

**ANL**  (2+**1**) W. Armstrong, S. Chekanov, **D. Blyth**

**BNL**  (2+**2**)  E.-C. Aschenauer, A. Kiselev (**co-PI**) , **J. Lauret**, C. Pinkenburg

**Fermilab** (1) S. Prestel

**INFN Trieste** (1) A. Bressan

**Jefferson Lab** (1+**3**) MD (**co-PI**) , **D. Lawrence**, **D. Romanov**, **M. Ungaro**

**SLAC** (1+**1**) **M. Asai**, A. Dotti

**William & Mary** (1) **W. Deconinck**

**Joined during FY17 or for FY18**

# Global objectives

**Interfaces and integration**
- connect existing frameworks / toolkits
- identify the key pieces for a future EIC toolkit
- collaborate with other R&D consortia

**Planning for the future with future compatibility**
- workshop to discuss new scientific computing developments and trends
- incorporating new standards
- validating our tools on new computing infrastructure

**Organizational efforts with an emphasis on communication**
- build an active working group and foster collaboration
- documentation about available software
- maintaining a software repository
- workshop organization

# New analysis environments

## User centered design

- understand the user requirements first and foremost
- engage wider community of physicists, whose primary interest is not computing, in software design
- make design decisions largely based on user requirements

## Future compatibility (both hardware and software)

- most powerful future computers will likely be very different from the kind of computers currently used in NP (Exascale Computing)
- structures robust against likely changes in computing environment
- apply modular design: changes in underlying code can be handled without an entire overhaul of the structure

## Think out of the box

- the way analysis is done has been largely shaped by kinds of computing that has been available
- computing begins to grow in very different ways in the future, driven by very different forces than in the past (Exascale Computing)
- think about new possibilities and paradigms that can and should arise

# Towards a computing vision for the EIC

**EIC**

- extremely broad science program
- strong **interplay theory – experiment**
- **EIC Software Consortium** (EIC Generic R&D Program)

**Computing requirements**

- flexible, modular analysis ecosystem
- interplay of data analysis, MC calculations, and Lattice QCD effort

**Lessons learned from LHC**

- computing central to success of scientific goals
- complexity of analysis ecosystem limits time on physics analysis
- strong role of machine learning

**Era of Exascale Computing**

- changing the paradigm for I/O, storage and compute
- high-precision non-perturbative QCD measurements (MC, Lattice QCD)

**Section**

**ESC projects** (FY17 and FY18)

Jefferson Lab

# Organizational efforts



- ESC involved in **workshop** on "**Future Trends in NP computing**"
  - 76 participants
  - symposium with forward looking topics (D. Geesaman (ANL), M. Savage (INT), S. Hoeche (SLAC), R. Ent (JLab)
  - workshop report with recommendations for moving forward in progress

- **GitLab repository** setup for ESC software and documentation: **https://gitlab.com/ESC/**

# Existing software frameworks

```
              eRHIC                                    JLEIC
                │                                        │
        ┌───────┴───────┐                      ┌─────────┴─────────┐
      BEAST          CELESTE                   IP1                 IP2
        │                │                      │                   │
     EicRoot          Fun4All                  GEMC              fpadsim
```

**ESC Review**
- EicRoot, Fun4All , GEMC, and fpadsim are actively maintained.
- The analysis environments for the EIC will be chosen when the EIC collaborations will form. Until then, we will examine the requirements for the EIC analysis environment and work on the R&D aspects of the EIC analysis environment.

# Discussion of requirements

**Use case 1**

Requirements for studying physics processes at EIC:
- interface to MCEG
- open access to accelerator specifications
- open access to detector information and simulation
- documentation

**Use case 2**

Requirements for studying detectors at EIC:
- open access to physics simulations or interface to MCEG
- open access to accelerator specifications
- open access to detector information and simulation
- documentation

Use cases 1 and 2 might involve comparison of eRHIC and JLEIC:
- eRHIC setup might be used in JLEIC software
- JLEIC setup might be used in eRHIC software

# ESC contributions

focus on **common interfaces** between frameworks



**Community effort**
- tutorials for use cases
- promote our work towards interfaces and integrations and the tutorials within EIC UG

# Monte Carlo simulations

**EIC MCEG initiative** (FY18)
- emphasize on strong interplay experiment –theory
- connect MCEG efforts NP-HEP

**ESC MC format** (FY17, FY18)
- self-descriptive data format
- HPC compatible

**radiative correction library** (FY17, FY18) with H. Spiesberger (HERA)

MCEG documentation

MCEG evaluation

develop EicMC

MCEG

MC data ProMC EicMC

HepSim MC repository

**eicsim01.jlab.org**

build community with MCEG developers

# EicMC – self-descriptive MC files

by-product of ProMC import incorporation into EicRoot framework

➜ compact and portable C++ code (~3k lines) developed by Alexander Kiselev (BNL)

**tested with Geant4**

**EicMC**: feature-rich, flexible, self-descriptive
- no external dependencies on the user (input) side other than **Google Protobuf** library
- unified extendable binary MCEG format (all generators from eic-smear are supported)
- true automatic self-description built into the format core .proto file and the user library
- 64-bit implementation ➜ no 16-/32-bit limitations on file size, record count
- flexible set of compression schemes (for file size, input speed, floating point precision)
- both sequential and direct access to event records (with scalable multi-level catalogues)
- convenient user interface
- performance (file size, speed) similar to or better than ProMC and ROOT equivalents

**FY18**
- comparative review of EicMC and ProMC, ROOT, and **HDF5**
- decide on ESC MC format
- adaptation to HepSim repository and simulation tools

# Detector simulations



**Geant4 - ESC Collaboration** (FY17, FY18)

- Makoto Asai: liaison between Geant4 International Collaboration and ESC
- EIC physics list and validation, tuning and extension (energy range is different from LHC), FY17: validation software available
- library to exchange geometry, sensitivity and hits among different frameworks, FY17: write-up on requirements and technologies available, work plan finalized

# Validation of Geant4 for the EIC

**Progress:**

- applications needed to perform physics validations have been completed

- *single interaction application* has been created and regression-testing macros prepared for relevant physics processes

- existing application tailored to CPU-performance measurements has been generalized beyond the initial HEP domain

- application can read GDML files and can be used to test EIC geometries

# Unified track reconstruction

**Modular tracking software** (FY17, FY18)

based on EIC tracking tools (ANL, BNL, JLab)

**FY17** feasibility study
- similar requirements and similar tracker outline for all proposed EIC detectors
- similar dataflow simulation -digitization - track reconstruction

**FY18** start development

- define libraries and interfaces
- setup sandbox environment compatible with ANL, BNL, and JLab frameworks

Tracking documentation

develop tracking

develop common analysis format

Simulated output ROOT

Hit reconstruction, clustering, etc.

Track finding (Hough Transforms) Track ftting (GenFit, Rave)

Analysis output ROOT

Accelerator and detector information

Accelerator and detector geometry

Detector information

# Exploring user-centered design

## Simulations on the web



Sam Markelon (UConn)



## Universal web-based event display

- validation of the EIC simulations
- comparison of different detector designs using an unified approach
- public outreach

**STAR** software group effort

# Other initiatives

## High-performance computing



**FY18**

- prepare EIC HPC projects
- begin dialogue with ASCR-operated computational science user facilities

## Machine learning



**FY18**

- Document selected examples for using (D)NN at the EIC, e.g., RICH

# Status of FY17 deliverables

List of deliverables in FY17 proposal. Most projects will continue in FY18.

**FY17**

## Interfaces and integration
- start the development of a library for simulating radiative effects ✓
- work towards a common geometry and detector interface ✓
- work towards an unified track reconstruction ✓

**FY17**

## Planning for the future with future compatibility
- validation of critical Geant4 physics in the energy regime of the EIC ✓
- start the development of an universal event display for MC events ✓
- promote open-data developments for efficient data-MC comparison from the beginning **(started)**
- build interfaces to forward compatible, self-descriptive file formats ✓

**FY17**

## Organizational efforts with an emphasis on communication
- build a community website **(started)**
- organize software repositories dedicated to the EIC ✓
- organize a workshop ✓

# Section

# eRD20: Recommendations and funding

# Advisory Committee: Recommendations

- **The committee suggest the group investigates the required event size and data size to evaluate the EIC computing needs.**
    - We would use photoproduction as an upper limit for the event rate at the EIC. The photoproduction cross section is 10 microbarn. At a luminosity of $10^{34}$ per $cm^2$ and s, this corresponds to an event rate of 100KHz.
    - Event size will be 10-100KB.
    - This corresponds to a triggerless data rate of 1-10GB per second and after some trigger 3.6TB per hour or 86.4TB per day.
    - Assuming the EIC would effectively run 20 weeks a year, this would correspond to a 12 PB per year.
    - Comparison to LHCb: Online computing farm processes 250 PB / year. 5 PB/year collected. Triggerless-readout for LHC Run 3 (2021-2023):, process 5 TB/s in real time on the CPU farm.

- **The open, broad approach the collaboration is taking to develop the software infrastructure for the EIC is welcomed.**

- **The group is urged to be cognizant of existing, well-supported efforts that can be deployed for the EIC to ensure optimal use of existing resources.**
    - Geant4-ESC collaboration
    - "Future Trends in NP Computing" workshop
        - Common infrastructure components
        - Software portability and reusability
    - Reached out to HEP Software Foundation (A. Boehnlein, T. Wenaus) and HEP Center of Computing Excellence (S. Habib)
    - Will reach out to ASCR-operated computational science user facilities.

# Budget request

- We need to meet and talk in person in order to make progress.
- We should have enough funds to attend relevant conferences …
- … and to hire students to help with real coding work.

|  | FY17 ($) | FY18 ($) |
|---|---|---|
| Travel | ~40k | 70k |
| Students | ~15k | 30k |
| **Total** | 55k | **100k** |

**-20% scenario:**
- Only 3 face-to-face meetings next year
- Strictly limited computing conference travel

**-40% scenario:**
- Only 2 face-to-face meetings next year
- No travel to the computing conferences and workshops
- Very limited opportunities to attract (and hire) additional manpower

# Summary

- **ESC** initiative from 16 scientists from 7 institutions

- Community effort for EIC User Group
- **Global objectives**:
    - Interfaces and integration for existing EIC software
    - Planning for the future with future compatibility
    - Organizational efforts with an emphasis on communication

- **FY18 focus**
    - MCEG and self-descriptive MC file format (EicMC, HDF5)
    - Geant4 validation
    - Unified tracking with universal geometry and detector interface
    - Exploring user-centered designs via web interfaces
    - Start HPC and (D)NN initiatives